

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Applicant appreciates the examiner's withdrawal of the references cited in the previous office action of September 8, 2004.

On page 2 of the office action, the examiner rejected claims 1 through 19 under U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

In amending claim 1, applicant has adopted the suggested claim 1 drafted by the examiner. The examiner indicated that claim 1 distinguishes patentably over the art of record. Applicant wishes to express his appreciation for the examiner's clear understanding of the claim in suggesting the changes. Claim 1, as amended, and claims 2 through 8 and 19, which depend therefrom, should now be allowable.

The examiner indicated that the claimed method of claim 10 appears incomplete. Applicant has amended claim 10, adding several steps to the method to show when the temperature of the heater is controlled and varied. The amended claim 10 should no longer be considered indefinite and should be allowable. Claims 11 through 18, which depend therefrom, should also be allowable. (In addition, due to the amendment of claim 10, applicant has amended some of the letters describing steps in claims 14 through 18.)

Finally, applicant respectfully requests entry of amendments to the incorrect spelling of the word "piezoelectric" in claims 1, 2 and 11, and the incorrect spelling of the word "tantallate," appearing in two places in the specification and in claims 2 and 11.

Upon entry of the amendments, allowance of claims 1 through 19 should be in order and is respectfully requested.

Date: May 6, 2005

Respectfully submitted,



Mary J. Gaskin
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 30,381
2170 Buckthorne Pl., Suite 220
The Woodlands, TX 77380
Phone: (281) 363-9121
Fax: (281) 363-4066

cc: Mr. William Hanson

C:\A&G\Patents\hanson\roa2